Heart modeling: Timed automata

Lecture 12

Principles of Modeling for Cyber-Physical Systems

Instructor: Madhur Behl

Principles of Modeling for CPS – Fall 2017

Why model checking ?







satisfy Maintain at least LRI ventricle rate Do not pace beyond maximum rate (URI)

Principles of Modeling for CPS – Fall 2017

?



Principles of Modeling for CPS – Fall 2017

So far: Looked at a device algorithm





Need: a model of device inputs



Need: a model of device inputs

Inputs to pacemaker are intra-cardiac electrograms



Lets model the heart as a timed automaton

Its about time!

Modeling choices

- Molecular
- Cellular
- Tissue
- Whole organ
- Mechanical
- Electrical

What do we want from the heart model?



 Predict when it misses beats → model outputs are events in A and V.

23

- 0

 Receive pacing from device → inputs are pacing events.

Modeling choices

- Molecular
- Cellular
- Tissue
- Whole organ
- Mechanical
- Electrical

Action potential





Refractory periods

- Divide refractory period into time periods Rest, ERP, and RRP
- Model refractory properties as timers.
- These time periods can be measured during EP study



Excitable Cardiac cells

- A piece of heart tissue (myocardium) is made of many *excitable* cells
- Each cell has (roughly speaking) three phases: Rest, Effective Refractory Period (ERP), and the Relative Refractory Period (RRP).
- In Rest, the cell can be excited by electricity. It can also self-excite if enough time elapses
- After being excited (*depolarized*), it enters a refractory phase during which it cannot be excited a second time. This is the Effective Refractory Period
- After the ERP, it enters a second refractory phase during which it can be excited, but with greater difficulty and with a smaller reaction. This is the Relative Refractory Period
- After the RRP, it returns to Rest and cycle repeats.

One cell



Cardiac tissue : Functional Syncytium

We can model an entire piece of cardiac tissue as having the same three phases as the cells that make it up: Rest, ERP and RRP.

Homegenization: even though some quantities are time- or space-varying, it might be OK, to first order, to model them as constants across time (and space)

Cardiac tissue: one *node automaton*



Node automaton with clocks



Node automaton with clocks and resets



Node automaton with clocks, resets and actions



Node automaton with clocks, resets and actions



Node automaton with clocks, resets and actions receiving an action (message)



Node automaton with clocks, resets and actions receiving an action (message) Ventricular Action Potentials X := Rest_def {X <= 0 | Depolarize?} / [X := ERP_def, Depolarize] REST ERP [X = X - 1][X = X - 1]{Depolarize?} X <= 0 / [X := RRP_def] / [X := ERP_def, Depolarize] X <= 0 / [X := REST_def] RRP [X = X - 1]

Node automaton with clocks, resets and actions



Node automaton with clocks, resets and actions Timed Automaton



Different tissues with different properties = nodes with different parameters

REST [X--] Right Atrium Right ventricle

What parameters might be different between High Right Atrium (HRA) and Right Ventricular Apex (RVA)?



Different tissues with different properties = nodes with different parameters

Atrium must depolarize faster than everybody → Atrium.Rest_def < Ventricle.Rest_def

E.g. if the modeled heart rate is 60 beats per minute (bpm), atrium fires every 1 sec → Atrium.Rest_def = 1sec. Can choose Ventricle.Rest_def = 10secs, say.





Connecting two tissues = connecting two nodes

How do we model the propagation of activity, i.e. of event [Depolarize], from Atrium to Ventricle?





Communicating between timed automata





Connecting two tissues = connecting two nodes

How do we model the propagation of activity, i.e. of event [Depolarize], from Atrium to Ventricle?

A large # of cells between the two...





Connecting two tissues = connecting two nodes

How do we model the propagation of activity, i.e. of event [Depolarize], from Atrium to Ventricle *as a timed automaton*?

Stick to same formalism as much as possible: Different formalisms add complexity to the analysis as you try to make two mathematical languages talk to each other.









A timed automaton model of conduction paths



Model this conduction path (which is a piece of tissue) as a different kind of timed automaton.






























































NPNPN

Of course, can add more tissue (more nodes) for a finer granularity model.



NPNPN

Scalability and refinement: *Model refinement* means to make the model more accurate, more faithful to reality...It is important to create a model that is refinable so when the computational resources are available, it is easy to create a more refined model and get more accurate results.



Irritable focus

An irritable focus is a piece of tissue that starts depolarizing on its own for a brief (or extended) period of time, sometimes driving the rhythm or otherwise disturbing it.





Atrio-Ventricular (AV) Node

The AV node adds a delay between atria and ventricles. This delay allows the ventricles to fill with blood before they contract to pump that blood out to the body.

It also acts as a rate controller: if atria beat too fast, every few beats will be dropped by the AV node and won't make it to the ventricles. That's because those events fall in the AV's ERP.

The ERP of the AV node *increases* as the excitations come closer together.




Heart as a network of timed automata: So What?

- A timed automaton is a mathematical object with clear *semantics:* we understand how it behaves and can analyze that behavior.
- A mathematical model allows us to do a more rigorous checking of correctness than we could without one. We can *prove* things about its behavior.

Model Checking



Transition Systems – Mathematical understanding. Linear Temporal Logic – Expressing requirements formally. Model checking UPPAAL